



## Protocol Comment Form

Date: 8 March 2004  
Name: Denise Michelson  
Company Name: BP  
Mailing Address:  
Phone:  
Fax:  
Email:

### What is the issue or problem with the current Protocol?

Please select one:  General Reporting Protocol  Certification Protocol

Subject: Use of Entity-Wide Phrase in Protocol

In the proposed October 2003 Draft General Reporting Protocol there might be some confusion when the term "entity-wide" is used instead of the "California-Only" or "US-Based" used in other places.

This might cause confusion especially for multi-national companies that are participating in the Registry and which have operations worldwide.

### What solution or alternative approach would address this?

Conduct a thorough search for the phrase "entity-wide" and replace with the more precise terminology of "California-Only" or "US-Based" to delineate more specifically which part of the organization's GHG inventory is included under this protocol.

### How will this proposed solution continue to meet the general spirit and purpose of the Protocol and the Registry, as outlined in the Protocol itself, policies adopted by the Board of Directors and enabling legislation?

This is just a clarification of terminology to prevent future confusion during certification. It is also consistent with the legislative mandate for the Registry to-date.

Return completed form by email, fax or mail to:  
California Climate Action Registry  
help@climateresistry.org  
Fax: (213) 623-6716  
515 S. Flower St., Suite 1305, Los Angeles, CA 90071

For Registry use only: